

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/817,112 | | 04/01/2004 | Shahid Shoaib | 6655P041C | 2834 |
| 8791 | 7590 | 08/22/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | LOFF TAYLOR & | IQBAL, NADEEM | | |
| | 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| LOS ANGE | LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030 | | | 2114 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/22/2000 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| Office Author Occurs | 10/817,112 | SHOAIB ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Nadeem Iqbal | 2114 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply | ion appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA | ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)[Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in t | ☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matte | • • |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,19-20-39 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-18 is/are wite 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-24 and 31-34 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,19-20,25-30,35 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 36-39 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Extended The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | hdrawn from consideration. d. and/or election requirement. caminer. | y the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by | correction is required if the drawing(s | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International | cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been i | pplication No |
| * See the attached detailed Office action fo | r a list of the certified copies not r | eceived. |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-83) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO | 948) Paper No(s) | NADEM IQBAL PRIMARY EXAMINER Jummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date Formal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/817,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to an amendment filed on June 17, 2006. The rejections for claims 1, 19, 20, 25-30 are applied for the same reasons as described in the last office action mailed on Dec 15, 2005. Applicant(s) should refer the stated office action for the detailed rejections for these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by D'Souza (U.S. Patent number 6446218).
- 3. As per claim 35, D'Souza teaches (col. 7, lines 30-32) that if the fault tolerance level is below the predefined acceptable fault tolerance level, the method also includes searching for a

Application/Control Number: 10/817,112 Page 3

Art Unit: 2114

first suitable computer among the first plurality of computers to load another module of the software program.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 21-24, & 31-34 are allowed.
- 2. Claim 36-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 17, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As per Applicant's argument pertains to rejection of claims under double patenting, Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

As per rejection of claims 1, 19, 20, 25-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by D'Souza. Applicant alleges that D'Souza does not show or teach the features related to evaluating a measured wait time associated with at least one user interface event and selecting a fault tolerance scheme to improve the user perceived performance. Examiner contends that D'Souza teaches the stated features since D'Souza teaches (col. 7, lines 27-30) that his method includes ascertaining a fault tolerance level associated with the software program, ascertaining being ascertained by examining the status of the software modules running on the first plurality

Art Unit: 2114

of computers and if the fault tolerance level is below the predefined acceptable fault tolerance level, the method also includes searching for a first suitable computer among the first plurality of computers to load another module of the software program thereon. He also teaches the stated features at (col. 13, lines 37-43).

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nadeem Iqbal whose telephone number is (571)-272-3659. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571)-272-3644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,112 Page 5

Art Unit: 2114

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nadeem Idbal Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2114

NI